



2007 Legislative Scorecard on Campaign Reform

About NC Voters for Clean Elections (NCVCE)

NC Voters for Clean Elections, a 501(c)(4) coalition, is the coordinating group for the campaign finance reform movement in North Carolina. Our coalition consists of over thirty different organizations including the League of Women Voters, the NC Center for Voter Education, Common Cause of NC, Democracy NC, NC PIRG, the NC Conference of Branches of the NAACP, AARP of NC, the NC Council of Churches, the NC Justice Center, and the NC Banker's Association. For a full list of coalition partners please visit our website at www.ncvce.org.

Contact NCVCE:
P.O. Box 10402
Raleigh, NC 27605
(919)521-4121
www.ncvce.org

About the Scorecard

Welcome to the NC Voters for **Clean Elections' (NCVCE) 2007** Legislative Scorecard on Campaign Reform! In our second year of publication, we hope this Scorecard can be a useful resource for voters, policymakers, activists, journalists, and others to determine where state legislators stand on reforming our money-driven political system.

Methodology: The votes evaluated reflect NCVCE's 2007 legislative agenda, and include the most important votes on campaign public financing taken during

the 2007 legislative session. Final percentages are based on the number of **times a legislator took the coalition's** position divided by the total number of actions evaluated. Excused absences (ABS), paired votes (PV), and non-votes (NV), are excluded from the final percentages, as well as votes or co-sponsorships taken while the legislator was not in office. Votes taken that agree with the campaign reform position are indicated by a ✓, and votes that disagree are indicated by a ⊖. Legislators who did not complete their term were not included.

We hope you'll agree with us on the merits of our assessment, but **if you don't please feel** free to email us at ncvce.coalition@gmail.com and let us know your thoughts.

2007 in Review

In 2007 we had one of the most successful legislative sessions in our **coalition's nine**-year history.

For the first time since passage of judicial public financing in 2002, we won an **expansion of "Voter-Owned Elections,"** to a limited number of Council of State seats.

A pilot program for local public financing was authorized for the town of Chapel Hill—which if created will be the first fully authorized municipal public financing program in the state. And we helped secure reforms that open up legislative ethics hearings and strengthen protection for participating candidates in the judicial public financing program.

Much credit is deserved by the 30-plus members of this coalition who made all of these victories possible!

Vote Descriptions

1. VOE Council of State Co-Sponsor
Three bills were introduced this session that would create a campaign public financing program for a limited number of Council of State seats. The version introduced in the House—"Voter Owned Elections Pilot" (HB-1517)—created a pilot campaign public program for three Council of State offices, beginning with the 2008 elections. The versions introduced in the Senate—"Voter Owned Elections" (SB-1205 and SB-1128)—would have created a similar program for eight of the ten Council of State offices (all but Governor and Lt. Governor). All three versions are modeled after North Carolina's judicial public financing program and require participating candidates to abide by strict spending and fundraising limits and prove community support by collecting hundreds of small donations from voters in the state. The bills were co-sponsored by 25% of House members and 30% of Senate members.

Status: HB-1517 passed both chambers of the General Assembly and was ratified into law by the governor. SB-1205 and SB-1128 were introduced but never taken up in committee. A ✓ indicates the legislator signed on as a co-sponsor for at least one of the bills (HB-1517, SB-1205, or SB-1128).

2. Legislative Public Financing Co-Sponsor
Two bills were introduced this session that would create a pilot program for legislative campaign public financing. The House version, "Legislative Cam-

paigns Pilot" (HB-1949) specified six districts solely in the House, and the Senate version, "Legislative Campaigns Pilot" (SB-1261), called for a program in four legislative districts. Both bills are modeled after North Carolina's judicial public financing program and require participating candidates to abide by strict spending and fundraising limits and prove community support by collecting small contributions from voters within their district. The bills were co-sponsored by 26% of House members and 14% of Senate members.

Status: The bills were introduced in both chambers, but no committee action was taken. A ✓ indicates the legislator signed on as a co-sponsor of one of the bills (HB-1949 or SB-1261)

3. VOE Council of State second reading
As indicated above, "Voter-Owned Elections Pilot" (HB-1517, see #1) creates a pilot campaign public financing program for three Council of State offices. The program is modeled after North Carolina's judicial public financing program and requires participating candidates to abide by strict spending and fundraising limits and prove community support by collecting hundreds of small donations from voters in the state.

Evaluation #3 scores the vote on second reading in both the House and Senate respectively. In the House, the bill passed second reading on a vote of

53-52 with Speaker Hackney breaking the tie. In the Senate, the bill passed second reading on a vote of 26-21. Both second and third reading votes were included because of significant absences and vote changes on the different days.

Status: HB-1517 was passed by both chambers of the General Assembly and ratified into law by the governor. A ✓ indicates the legislator voted for the bill on second reading in either the House or Senate, respectively.

4. VOE Council of State third reading
As indicated above, "Voter-Owned Elections Pilot" (HB-1517, see #1 and #3) creates a pilot campaign public financing program for three Council of State offices. The program is modeled after North Carolina's judicial public financing program and requires participating candidates to abide by strict spending and fundraising limits and prove community support by collecting hundreds of small donations from voters in the state.

Evaluation #4 scores the vote on third reading in the House and Senate respectively. In the House, the bill passed third reading on a vote of 59-57. In the Senate, the bill passed third reading on a vote of 28-19. Both second and third reading votes were included because of significant absences and vote changes on the different days.

Status: HB-1517 was passed by both chambers of the General Assembly and ratified into law by the governor. A ✓ indicates the legislator voted for the bill on third reading in either the House or Senate, respectively.

Spotlight: New Leadership

We began 2007 with a political system in disgrace, as one of the most powerful leaders in North Carolina's history resigned and was prosecuted on federal bribery charges. We ended 2007 with a litany of money-in-politics reforms and a demonstration of the new ethics rules for holding legislators accountable for their actions, in the case of Thomas Wright.

Much of the success of 2007 was

made possible by Speaker of the House Joe Hackney, who has demonstrated strong leadership on ethics issues and supported campaign public financing initiatives, including the bills of this past session.

Much of this also has to do with the emergence of strong reform caucuses in both the House and the Senate. In the House, a group of legislators led by Rep. Rick Glazier met for months to

discuss making an expansion of campaign public financing a reality.

And a group in the Senate, led by Sens. Martin Nesbitt and Dan Clodfelter, aggressively pushed for the public financing bills during the waning days of the legislative session.

NCVCE applauds this remarkable leadership in both chambers of the General Assembly!

Spotlight: New Leadership

We began 2007 with a political system in disgrace, as one of the most powerful leaders in North Carolina's history resigned and was prosecuted on federal bribery charges. We ended 2007 with a litany of money-in-politics reforms and a demonstration of the new ethics rules for holding legislators accountable for their actions, in the case of Thomas Wright.

Much of the success of 2007 was made

possible by Speaker of the House Joe Hackney, who has demonstrated strong leadership on ethics issues and supported campaign public financing initiatives, including the bills of this past session.

Much of this also has to do with the emergence of strong reform caucuses in both the House and the Senate. In the House, a group of legislators led by Rep. Rick Glazier met for months to discuss making an expansion

of campaign public financing a reality.

And a group in the Senate, led by Sens. Martin Nesbitt and Dan Clodfelter, aggressively pushed for the public financing bills during the waning days of the legislative session.

NCVCE applauds this remarkable leadership in both chambers of the General Assembly!

5. Chapel Hill Public Financing (HB-483)
 "Chapel Hill Campaign Options" (HB-483) authorizes the town of Chapel Hill to implement a campaign public financing program during the 2009 and 2011 election cycles. The bill merely authorizes the town to create a program and dictates general guidelines for creation and implementation. It does not establish the program or provide any funding for it. If implemented, Chapel Hill would become the only municipality in North Carolina to have a campaign public financing program at the municipal level.

Status: HB-483 was passed by both chambers of the General Assembly and ratified into law. A ✓ indicates the legislator voted for the bill.

6. Strengthen Judicial Program "Strengthen Judicial Fund" (HB-1828) strengthens the "rescue money" provision of North Carolina's judicial public financing program. The bill creates a more powerful disincentive for sham third-party expenditures by widening the trigger to release "rescue money" or matching funds when a participating candidate is subjected to an uncoordinated third-party attack. The intent is to strengthen the integrity of the judicial public financing program and make it more difficult for third-party groups to circumvent campaign finance rules.

Status: HB-1828 was passed by both chambers of the General Assembly and ratified into law by the governor. A ✓ indicates the legislator voted for the bill.

7. Open Ethics Hearings
 The "Clarify State Government Ethics Act" (HB-1111) was a large, consensus, omnibus bill that included dozens of changes in state ethics law. The part of the bill important to our coalition was the section which opened up legislative ethics hearings to the public. Previously, they had been closed to the public. The conference committee vote is being evaluated because this is the version of the bill which included open ethics hearings.

Status: HB-1111 was passed by both chambers of the General Assembly and signed by the governor. A ✓ indicates the legislators voted to adopt the final conference report.

Spotlight: What are "Voter-Owned Elections?"

The concept of "Voter-Owned Elections," or public campaign financing is simple.

Candidates who can prove community support by collecting hundreds of small donations receive a public grant to run their campaign in exchange for agreeing to strict spending and fundraising limits.

Once elected, these office holders can focus solely on serving the public interest and are freed from

having to cater to the big donors and moneyed special interest groups who dominate the political system.

The perception of integrity in government is improved because campaigns are less dominated by special interest groups. And campaigns become more about ideas because candidates spend increased time talking with average voters and less time with

donors. Finally, by reducing the "wealth primary"—or the prohibitive amount of money needed to even imagine running for office—public service is opened up to a more diverse array of candidates that better reflect the population.

For information about "Voter-Owned Elections" and how they work, please

N.C. House Scorecard, part 1

- 1. VOE Council of State Sponsor
- 2. VOE Legislative Pilot Sponsor
- 3. Council of State 2nd reading
- 4. Council of State 3rd reading
- 5. Authorize Chapel Hill Pilot
- 6. Strengthen Judicial Program
- 7. Open Ethics Hearings
- 2007 Overall score (%)

Representative	Party & County		1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	2007 Overall score (%)
Reform Position			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
Adams, Alma	D	Guilford	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Alexander, Martha B.	D	Mecklenburg	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Allen, Lucy T.	D	Franklin	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Allred, Cary D.	R	Alamance	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	✓	29
Avila, Marilyn	R	Wake	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Barnhart, Jeff	R	Cabarrus	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	✓	29
Bell, Larry M.	D	Sampson	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Blackwood, Curtis	R	Union	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Blue, Dan	D	Wake	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Blust, John M.	R	Guilford	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Bordsen, Alice L.	D	Alamance	⊖	⊖	ABS	ABS	✓	ABS	ABS	33
Boylan, Joe	R	Moore	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Braxton, R. Van	D	Lenoir	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	⊖	✓	57
Brisson, William D.	D	Bladen	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	57
Brown, Larry R.	R	Forsyth	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	0
Brubaker, Harold J.	R	Randolph	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	0
Bryant, Angela R.*1	D	Nash	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Carney, Becky	D	Mecklenburg	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Church, Sr., Walter G.	D	Burke	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	✓	ABS	33
Clary, Debbie A.	R	Cleveland	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	0
Cleveland, George G.	R	Onslow	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Coates, Lorene	D	Rowan	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Cole, Nelson	D	Rockingham	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	67
Coleman, Linda	D	Wake	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Cotham, Tricia Ann*2	D	Mecklenburg	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Crawford, Jr., James W.	D	Granville	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	NV	33
Cunningham, W. Pete	D	Mecklenburg	✓	⊖	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	80
Current, Sr., William A.	R	Gaston	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	17
Daughtridge, Bill	R	Nash	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	17
Daughtry, N. Leo	R	Johnston	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	NV	NV	0
Dickson, Margaret Highsmith	D	Cumberland	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Dockham, Jerry C.	R	Davidson	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	NV	0
Dollar, Nelson	R	Wake	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Earle, Beverly M.	D	Mecklenburg	⊖	⊖	ABS	✓	✓	✓	NV	60
England, M.D., Bobby	D	Rutherford	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Faison, Bill	D	Orange	✓	⊖	ABS	✓	✓	✓	✓	83
Farmer-Butterfield, Jean	D	Wilson	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	ABS	83
Fisher, Susan C.	D	Buncombe	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Folwell, Dale R.	R	Forsyth	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Frye, Phillip	R	Mitchell	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Gibson, Pryor	D	Anson	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Gillespie, Mitch	R	McDowell	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	0
Glazier, Rick	D	Cumberland	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Goforth, Bruce	D	Buncombe	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	⊖	✓	86
Goodwin, Melanie Wade	D	Richmond	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Grady, W. Robert	R	Onslow	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	0
Gulley, Jim	R	Mecklenburg	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Hackney, Joe (SPEAKER)*3	D	Orange	—	—	✓	NV	NV	NV	NV	100

*1—Angela Bryant was appointed on 1/23/07

*2—Tricia Cotham was appointed on 3/22/07

*3—Because it is not customary for the Speaker to vote or co-sponsor bills, Speaker Hackney was only scored on the tie-breaking vote for HB-1517

Key

- ✓ = Pro-Reform Position
- ⊖ = Anti-Reform Position
- A = Excused Absence
- N = Present, did not vote
- = Not applicable

N.C. House Scorecard, part 2

Representative	Party & County		2007 Overall score (%)							
			1. VOE Council of State Sponsor	2. VOE Legislative Pilot Spon-	3. Council of State 2nd reading	4. Council of State 3rd reading	5. Authorize Chapel Hill Pilot	6. Strengthen Judicial Program	7. Open Ethics Hearings	
Reform Position			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
Haire, R. Phillip	D	Jackson	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	57
Hall, Larry D.	D	Durham	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Harrell, III, Jim	D	Surry	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Harrell, Ty	D	Wake	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Harrison, Pricey	D	Guilford	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Hill, Dewey L.	D	Columbus	⊖	✓	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	NV	33
Hilton, Mark K.	R	Catawba	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Holliman, Hugh	D	Davidson	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Holloway, Bryan R.	R	Stokes	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Holmes, George M.	R	Yadkin	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	NV	0
Howard, Julia C.	R	Davie	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	33
Hurley, Pat B.	R	Randolph	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Insko, Verla	D	Orange	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Jeffus, Maggie	D	Guilford	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Johnson, Linda P.	R	Cabarrus	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Jones, Earl	D	Guilford	⊖	⊖	ABS	✓	ABS	✓	NV	50
Justice, Carolyn H.	R	Pender	✓	✓	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	✓	57
Justus, Carolyn K.	R	Henderson	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Killian, Ric	R	Mecklenburg	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Kiser, Joe L	R	Lincoln	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	0
Langdon, Jr., James H.	R	Johnston	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Lewis, David R.	R	Harnett	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Love Sr., Jimmy L.	D	Lee	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	67
Lucas, Marvin W.	D	Cumberland	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Luebke, Paul	D	Durham	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Martin, Grier	D	Wake	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
McAllister, Mary E.	D	Cumberland	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
McComas, Daniel F.	R	New Hanover	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	17
McElraft, Pat	R	Carteret	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
McGee, William C. "Bill"	R	Forsyth	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
McLawhorn, Marian N.	D	Pitt	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Michaux, Jr., Henry M.	D	Durham	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Mobley, Annie W.*4	D	Hertford	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Moore, Tim	R	Cleveland	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Neuman, Will	R	Gaston	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Owens, W.C. "Bill"	D	Pasquotank	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Parmon, Earline W.	D	Forsyth	⊖	⊖	NV	NV	✓	✓	NV	50
Pate, Jr., Louis M.	R	Wayne	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	0
Pierce, Garland E.	D	Scotland	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Rapp, Ray	D	Madison	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Ray, Karen B.	R	Iredell	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	17
Ross, Deborah K.	D	Wake	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Samuelson, Ruth	R	Mecklenburg	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Saunders, Drew	D	Mecklenburg	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	NV	33
Setzer, Mitchell S.	R	Catawba	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Spear, Timothy L	D	Chowan	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	29
Stam, Paul	R	Wake	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Starnes, Edgar V.	R	Caldwell	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	NV	0

*4—Annie Mobley was appointed on 1/23/07

Key

✓ = Pro-Reform Position

⊖ = Anti-Reform Position

ABS = Excused Absence

NV = Present, did not vote

— = Not applicable

N.C. House Scorecard, part 3

Representative	Party & County		2007 Overall Score (%)							2007 Overall Score (%)
			1. VOE Council of State Sponsor	2. VOE Legislative Pilot Sponsor	3. Council of State 2nd reading	4. Council of State 3rd reading	5. Authorize Chapel Hill Pilot	6. Strengthen Judicial Program	7. Open Ethics Hearings	
Reform Position			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
Steen, II, Fred F.	R	Rowan	⊖	⊖	ABS	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	17
Stiller, Bonner	R	Brunswick	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Sutton, Ronnie	D	Robeson	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	NV	⊖	ABS	0
Tarleton, Cullie M.	D	Watauga	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Thomas, Charles C.	R	Buncombe	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Tillis, Thom	R	Gaston	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	NV	⊖	✓	17
Tolson, Joe P.	D	Edgecombe	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Tucker, Russell E.	D	Duplin	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	ABS	17
Underhill, Alice Graham	D	Craven	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	ABS	ABS	100
Wainwright, William L.	D	Craven	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Walend, Trudi	R	Transylvania	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Walker, R. Tracy	R	Wilkes	✓	✓	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	ABS	33
Warren, Edith D.	D	Pitt	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Warren, Ray	D	Alexander	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Weiss, Jennifer	D	Wake	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
West, Roger	R	Cherokee	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	NV	0
Wiley, Laura I.	R	Guilford	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	14
Wilkins, W.A. "Winkie"	D	Person	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Williams, Arthur	D	Beaufort	⊖	⊖	⊖	✓	⊖	✓	✓	43
Womble, Larry	D	Forsyth	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100
Wray, Michael H.	D	Northampton	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Wright, Thomas E.	D	New Hanover	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	ABS	83
Yongue, Douglas Y.	D	Scotland	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71

Key

- ✓ = Pro-Reform Position
- ⊖ = Anti-Reform Position
- ABS = Excused Absence
- NV = Present, did not vote
- = Not applicable

Scorecard Highlights

House Members with Perfect Scores! ✓

- | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rep. Martha Alexander | Rep. Jim Harrell III |
| Rep. Becky Carney | Rep. Marvin Lucas |
| Rep. Lorene Coates | Rep. Paul Luebke |
| Rep. Linda Coleman | Rep. Grier Martin |
| Rep. Bobby England | Rep. Ray Rapp |
| Rep. Susan Fisher | Rep. Deborah Ross |
| Rep. Rick Glazier | Rep. Alice Graham Underhill |
| Rep. Melanie Wade Goodwin | Rep. Jennifer Weiss |
| Rep. Joe Hackney | Rep. Winkie Wilkins |
| Rep. Pricey Harrison | Rep. Larry Womble |

Senators with Perfect Scores! ✓

- Sen. Bob Atwater
- Sen. Doug Berger
- Sen. Janet Cowell
- Sen. Malcolm Graham
- Sen. Eleanor Kinnaird
- Sen. Floyd McKissick
- Sen. Martin Nesbitt

N.C. Senate Scorecard

Representative	Party & County		2007 Overall Score (%)							2007 Overall Score (%)
			1. VOE Council of State Sponsor	2. VOE Legislative Pilot Sponsor	3. Council of State 2nd reading	4. Council of State 3rd reading	5. Authorize Chapel Hill Pilot	6. Strengthen Judicial Program	7. Open Ethics Hearings	
Reform Position			yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
Albertson, Charles W.	D	Duplin	✓	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	ABS	83
Allran, Austin M.	R	Catawba	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	43
Apodaca, Tom	R	Henderson	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	29
Atwater, Bob	D	Chatham	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Basnight, Mark	D	Dare	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Berger, Doug	D	Franklin	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Berger, Phil	R	Rockingham	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	29
Bingham, Stan	R	Davidson	✓	⊙	⊙	PV	✓	✓	✓	67
Blake, Harris	R	Moore	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	⊙	✓	29
Boseman, Julia	D	New Hanover	⊙	⊙	ABS	✓	✓	✓	ABS	60
Brock, Andrew C.	R	Davie	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	14
Brown, Harry	R	Onslow	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	⊙	✓	29
Brunstetter, Pete S.	R	Forsyth	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	29
Clodfelter, Daniel G.	D	Mecklenburg	✓	⊙	✓	✓	ABS	✓	✓	83
Cowell, Janet	D	Wake	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	100
Dalton, Walker H.	D	Rutherford	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	ABS	67
Dannelly, Charlie Smith	D	Mecklenburg	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Dorsett, Katie G.	D	Guilford	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	ABS	✓	✓	67
East, Don	R	Surry	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	ABS	0
Foriest, Tony	D	Alamance	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Forrester, Jim	R	Gaston	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	43
Garrou, Linda	D	Forsyth	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	ABS	67
Goodall, W. Edward "Eddie"	R	Union	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	NV	0
Goss, Steve	D	Watauga	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Graham, Malcolm	D	Mecklenburg	✓	✓	✓	PV	✓	✓	ABS	100
Hagan, Kay R.	D	Guilford	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Hartsell, Jr., Fletcher L.	R	Cabarrus	✓	⊙	⊙	⊙	NV	✓	✓	50
Hoyle, David W.	D	Gaston	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	43
Hunt, Neal	R	Wake	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	14
Jacumin, Jim	R	Burke	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	NV	17
Jenkins, Clark	D	Edgecombe	⊙	⊙	ABS	✓	ABS	✓	ABS	50
Jones, Ed *5	D	Halifax	✓	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Kerr, III, John H.	D	Wayne	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	NV	33
Kinnaird, Eleanor	D	Orange	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Malone, Vernon	D	Wake	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
McKissick, Jr., Floyd B. *6	D	Durham	—	—	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Nesbitt, Jr., Martin L.	D	Buncombe	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100
Pittenger, Robert	R	Mecklenburg	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	ABS	⊙	ABS	0
Preston, Jean	R	Craven	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	⊙	✓	29
Purcell, William R.	D	Scotland	✓	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Queen, Joe Sam	D	Haywood	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Rand, Tony	D	Cumberland	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	71
Shaw, Larry	D	Cumberland	✓	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	83
Smith, Fred	R	Johnston	⊙	⊙	ABS	ABS	⊙	ABS	ABS	0
Snow, John	D	Cherokee	✓	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Soles, Jr., R.C.	D	Columbus	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	ABS	67
Stevens, Richard	R	Wake	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	43
Swindell, A.B.	D	Nash	✓	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86
Tillman, Jerry W.	R	Randolph	⊙	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	⊙	ABS	17
Weinstein, David F.	D	Robeson	⊙	⊙	⊙	✓	✓	✓	✓	57

*5—Ed Jones was appointed on 1/24/07
*6—Floyd McKissick was appointed on 4/17/07. He was not in office at the time of co-sponsorships.

Key

✓ = Pro-Reform Position

⊙ = Anti-Reform Position

ABS = Excused Absence

NV = Present, did not vote

PV = Paired Vote

— = Not applicable

Will you help us fight for a better democracy in North Carolina?

YES, here's my donation to NCVCE to help the coalition continue its advocacy for a more transparent, voter-controlled political process in North Carolina.

Amount enclosed: \$50 \$100 \$250 \$_____

I want to be involved with NCVCE:

I can call/email a legislator if I am reminded

Keep me informed of upcoming events

Other _____

MY NAME: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone – Hm: _____ Wk: _____

Email: _____

Please Make Checks Payable to NC Voters for Clean Elections

Return to NCVCE, PO Box 10402, Raleigh, NC 27605

Call (919)521-4121 or email ncvce.coalition@gmail.com if you would like more information or have any questions.

Because your donation supports NCVCE's direct advocacy and political work, your contribution is not tax-deductible.



N.C. Voters for Clean Elections

PO Box 10402, Raleigh NC 27605

ncvce.coalition@gmail.com

www.ncvce.org